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## Previous Lectures

- Pre-start questionnaire
- Introduction and Phases of an NLP system
- NLP Applications - Chatting with Alice
- Finite State Automata \& Regular Expressions \& languages
- Deterministic \& Non-deterministic FSAs
- Morphology: Inflectional \& Derivational
- Parsing and Finite State Transducers
- Stemming \& Porter Stemmer
- 20 Minute Quiz
- Statistical NLP - Language Modeling
- N Grams
- Smoothing and NGram: Add-one \& Witten-Bell


## Today's Lecture

- Return Quiz1
- Witten-Bell Smoothing
$\square$ Part of Speech


## Return Quiz

- Statistics and grades are available at course web site
$\square$ Sample Solution is also posted
$\square$ Check the sample solution and if you have any discrepancy write your note on the top of the quiz sheet and pass it to my office within 2 days.


## Quiz1 Distribution

## Distribution for Quiz1

## Statistics: Quiz1

Graded out of: 28.0 Highest grade: 23.0 Mean grade: 14.3 Standard deviation: 5.1
Number of records: 14 Lowest grade: 8.0
Median grade: 14.0

| Score Range | Frequency |
| :--- | ---: |
| $[0,2.8)$ |  |
| $[2.8,5.6)$ |  |
| $[5.6,8.4)$ | 2 |
| $[8.4,11.2)$ |  |
| $[11.2,14)$ | 1 |
| $[14,16.8)$ | 2 |
| $[16.8,19.6)$ | 1 |
| $[19.6,22.4)$ |  |
| $[22.4,25.2)$ |  |
| $[25.2,28)$ |  |
| $[28]$ |  |

Question 1: [6 points] Draw an FSA to represent a laughing machine. The laughing machine should recognize sequences of ها هو, and followed by !. It should also recognize any mix of
 Answer:


Question 2: [6 points] Write a regular expression to represent the above laughing machine. Answer: (

Question 3: [6 points] Write a regular expression to represent all Arabic words of the pattern مeve. The expression should represent allstrings like مكرسور, and so on. Avoid errors by minimizing both positive and negative errors.


Question 4: [10 points] Study the following table for some singular and dual Arabic feminine names:
What Finite Sate Transducers do we need to accept an Arabic feminine singular name and replace it by its correspondent dual name as in the shown examples?
We might need two F'S's; one for capturing morphotactical rules and the other for capturing orthographic Rules (or spell changes). In our example, we notice

| Dual طاولتان | Singular طاولة |
| :---: | :---: |
| شجرتنان | شجرة |
| فاطوتان | فاطمة |
| ساعتان | ساعة | that to change from singular to dual we add the two letters Alef and Ta (i) at the end of the word. This procedure could be used in capturing morphotactical rules. To capture orthographic rules in our example we have to have an FS'T to change the letter Ta marbotah (\%) to open Ta (ت)

Of course, we need to replace "Feminine Noun" with every Feminine noun representation in the


Possible FST to capture morphotactical rules (Alef and Noon (ن) attachment)


## Smoothing and N-grams

- Witten-Bell Smoothing
- equate zero frequency items with frequency 1 items
- use frequency of things seen once to estimate frequency of things we haven't seen yet
- smaller impact than Add-One
- Unigram
- a zero frequency word (unigram) is "an event that hasn't happened yet"
- count the number of words (T) we've observed in the corpus (Number of types)
- $p(w)=T /\left(Z^{*}(N+T)\right)$
$\square \mathrm{w}$ is a word with zero frequency
$\square \mathrm{Z}=$ number of zero frequency words
$\square \mathrm{N}=$ size of corpus


## Distributing

- The amount to be distributed is

$$
\frac{T}{N+T}
$$

- The number of events with count zero

Z

- So distributing evenly gets us


## Distributing Among the Zeros

## a If a bigram " $w_{x} w_{i}$ " has a zero count



## Smoothing and N-grams

- Bigram
- $\mathrm{p}\left(w_{n} \mid w_{n-1}\right)=\mathrm{C}\left(w_{n-1} w_{n}\right) / \mathrm{C}\left(w_{n-1}\right)$
(original)
- $\mathrm{p}\left(w_{n} \mid w_{n-1}\right)=\mathrm{T}\left(w_{n-1}\right) /\left(\mathrm{Z}\left(w_{n-1}\right) *\left(\mathrm{~T}\left(w_{n-1}\right)+\mathrm{N}\right)\right)$
for zero bigrams (after Witten-Bell)
$\square \mathrm{T}\left(w_{n-1}\right)=$ number of bigrams beginning with $w_{n-1}$
$\square \mathrm{Z}\left(w_{n-1}\right)=$ number of unseen bigrams beginning with $w_{n-1}$
$\square \mathrm{Z}\left(w_{n-1}\right)=$ total number of possible bigrams beginning with $w_{n-1}$ minus the ones we've seen
$\square \mathrm{Z}\left(w_{n-1}\right)=\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{T}\left(w_{n-1}\right)$
- $\mathrm{T}\left(w_{n-1}\right) / \mathrm{Z}\left(w_{n-1}\right) * \mathrm{C}\left(w_{n-1}\right) /\left(\mathrm{C}\left(w_{n-1}\right)+\mathrm{T}\left(w_{n-1}\right)\right)$
- estimated zero bigram frequency
- $\mathrm{p}\left(w_{n} \mid w_{n-1}\right)=\mathrm{C}\left(w_{n-1} w_{n}\right) /\left(\mathrm{C}\left(w_{n-1}\right)+\mathrm{T}\left(w_{n-1}\right)\right)$
$\square$ for non-zero bigrams (after Witten-Bell)


## Smoothing and N-grams

- Witten-Bell Smoothing
- use frequency (count) of things seen once to estimate frequency (count) of things we haven't seen yet
- Bigram
- $\mathrm{T}\left(w_{n-1}\right) / \mathrm{Z}\left(w_{n-1}\right) * \mathrm{C}\left(w_{n-1}\right) /\left(\mathrm{C}\left(w_{n-1}\right)+\mathrm{T}\left(w_{n-1}\right)\right) \quad$ estimated zero bigram frequency (count)
- $\mathrm{T}\left(w_{n-1}\right)=$ number of bigrams beginning with $w_{n-1}$
- $\mathrm{Z}\left(w_{n-1}\right)=$ number of unseen bigrams beginning with $w_{n-1}$

|  | I want | to | eat Chinese | food lunch |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| I | 8 | 1087 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| want | 3 | 0 | 786 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 6 |
| to | 3 | 0 | 10 | 860 | 3 | 0 | 12 |
| eat | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 52 |
| Chinese | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 1 |
| food | 19 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| lunch | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

## Remark:

smaller changes

|  | I | want | to | eat | Chinese | food | lunch |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| I | 7.785 | 1057.763 | 0.061 | 12.650 | 0.061 | 0.061 | 0.061 |
| want | 2.823 | 0.046 | 739.729 | 0.046 | 5.647 | 7.529 | 5.647 |
| to | 2.885 | 0.084 | 9.616 | 826.982 | 2.885 | 0.084 | 11.539 |
| eat | 0.073 | 0.073 | 1.766 | 0.073 | 16.782 | 1.766 | 45.928 |
| Chinese | 1.828 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 0.011 | 109.700 | 0.914 |
| food | 18.019 | 0.051 | 16.122 | 0.051 | 0.051 | 0.051 | 0.051 |
| lunch | 3.643 | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.911 | 0.026 |
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## Parts of Speech

- Start with eight basic categories
- Noun, verb, pronoun, preposition, adjective, adverb, article, conjunction
$\square$ These categories are based on morphological and distributional properties (not semantics)
$\square$ Some cases are easy, others are not


## Parts of Speech

- Two kinds of category
- Closed class
- Prepositions, articles, conjunctions, pronouns
- Open class
- Nouns, verbs, adjectives, adverbs


## Part of Speech

- Closed classes
- Prepositions: on, under, over, near, by, at, from, to, with, etc.
- Determiners: a, an, the, etc.
- Pronouns: she, who, I, others, etc.
- Conjunctions: and, but, or, as, if, when, etc.
- Auxiliary verbs: can, may, should, are, etc.
- Particles: up, down, on, off, in, out, at, by, etc.
- Open classes:
- Nouns:
- Verbs:
- Adjectives:
- Adverbs:


## Part of Speech Tagging

- Tagging is the task of labeling (or tagging) each word in a sentence with its appropriate part of speech.
- The representative put chairs on the table.
- The[AT] representative chairs[NNS] on[IN] the
put table[NN].
- Tagging is a case of limited syntactic disambiguation. Many words have more than one syntactic category.
- Tagging has limited scope: we just fix the syntactic categories of words and do not do a complete parse.


## Part of Speech Tagging

$\square$ Associate with each word a lexical tag

- 45 classes from Penn Treebank
- 87 classes from Brown Corpus
- 146 classes from C7 tagset (CLAWS system)


## Penn Treebank

- Large Corpora of 4.5 million words of American English
- POS Tagged
- Syntactic Bracketing

ㅁ : http://www.cis.upenn.edu/ ~treebank
■ Visit this site!

## Penn Treebank

| Description | Tagged for <br> Part-of-Speech | Skeletal Parsing |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | (Tokens) | (Tokens) |
| Dept. of Energy abstracts | 231,404 | 231,404 |
| Dow Jones Newswire stories | $3,065,776$ | $1,061,166$ |
| Dept of Agriculture bulletins | 78,555 | 78,555 |
| Library of America texts | 105,652 | 105,652 |
| MUC-3 messages | 111,828 | 111,828 |
| IBM Manual sentences | 89,121 | 89,121 |
| WBUR radio transcripts | 11,589 | 11,589 |
| ATIS sentences | 19,832 | 19,832 |
| Brown Corpus, retagged | $1,172,041$ | $1,172,041$ |
| Total: | $\mathbf{4 , 8 8 5 , 7 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 8 1 , 1 8 8}$ |

## POS Tags from Penn Treebank

| Tag | Description | Example | Tag | Description | Example |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CC | Coordin. Conjunction | and, but, or | NNS | Noun, plural | Hamas |
| CD | Cardinal number | one, two, three | NNP | Proper noun, singular | IBM |
| DT | Determiner | a, the | NNPS | Proper noun, plural | Carolinas |
| EX | Existential 'there' | there | PDT | Predeterminer | all, both |
| FW | Foreign word | mea culpa | POS | Possesive ending | 's |
| IN | Preposition/sub-conj | of, in, by | PP | Personal pronoun | I, you, he |
| JJ | Adjective | yellow | PPS | Possesive pronoun | your, one's |
| JIR | Adjective, comparative | biger | RB | Adverb | quickly, never |
| IIS | Adjective, superlative | wildest | RBR | Adverb, comparative | faster |
| LS | List item marker | l, 2, One | RBS | Adverb, superlative | fastest |
| MD | Modal | can, should | RP | Particle | up, off |
| NN | Noun, singular or mass | Mama | SYM | Symbol | $+, \%, \&$ |

## Distribution

- Parts of speech follow the usual behavior
- Most words have one part of speech
- Of the rest, most have two
- The rest
$\square$ A small number of words have lots of parts of speech
- Unfortunately, the words with lots of parts of speech occur with high frequency


## What do POS Taggers do?

- POS Tagging
- Looks at each word in a sentence
- And assigns tag to each word
- For example: The man saw the boy.
the-DET man-NN saw-VPAST the-DET boy-NN


## Part of Speech Tagging

Some examples:

| The | students | went | to | class |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| DT | NN | VB | P | NN |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Plays | well | with | others |  |
| VB | ADV | P | NN |  |
| * NN | NN | P | DT |  |
|  |  |  |  | Fanana |
| Fruit | flies | like | a | NN |
| NN | NN | VB | DT | NN |
| NN | VB | P | DT | NN |
| ? NN | NN | P | DT | NN |
| * NN | VB | VB | DT | NN |

Sets of Parts of Speech:

## Tagsets

- There are various standard tagsets to choose from; some have a lot more tags than others
- The choice of tagset is based on the application
$\square$ Accurate tagging can be done with even large tagsets


## Tagging

- Part of speech tagging is the process of assigning parts of speech to each word in a sentence... Assume we have
- A tagset
- A dictionary that gives you the possible set of tags for each entry
- A text to be tagged
- A reason?


## Arabic Tagging

- Shereen Khoja
- Computing Department
- Lancaster University
- Saleh Al-Osaimi
- School of Computing
- University of Leeds


## Tagset Hierarchy used for Arabic



## POS Tagging

- Most words are unambiguous
- Many of the most common English words are ambiguous

| Unambiguous (1 tag) | 35,340 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Ambiguous (2-7 tags) | 4,100 |
| 2 tags | 3,760 |
| 3 tags | 264 |
| 4 tags | 61 |
| 5 tags | 12 |
| 6 tags | 2 |
| 7 tags | 1 ("still") |

## POS Tagging: Three Methods

- Rules
- Probabilities (Stochastic)
- Sort of both: Transformation-Based Tagging


## Rule-based Tagging

- A two stage architecture
- Use dictionary (lexicon) to assign each word a list of potential POS
- Use large lists of hand-written disambiguation rules to identify a single POS for each word.
- ENGTWOL tagger (Voutilainen,'95)
- 56000 English word stems
- Advantage: high precision (99\%)
- Disadvantage: needs a lot of rules
- Hand-crafted rules for ambiguous words that test the context to make appropriate choices
- Relies on rules e.g. NP $\rightarrow$ Det (Adj*) N
- For example: the clever student
- Morphological Analysis to aid disambiguation
- E.g. X-ing preceded by Verb - label it a verb
- 'Supervised method' I.e. using a pre-tagged corpus
- Advantage: Corpus of same genre
- Problem: not always available
- Extra Rules
- indicative of nouns
- Punctuation
- Extremely labor-intensive


## Stochastic (Probabilities)

- Simple approach: disambiguate words based on the probability that a word occurs with a particular tag
- N -gram approach: the best tag for given words is determined by the probability that it occurs with the $n$ previous tags
- Viterbi Algorithm: trim the search for the most probable tag using the best N Maximum Likelihood Estimates ( n is the number of tags of the following word)
- Hidden Markov Model combines the above two approaches


## Stochastic (Probabilities)

- We want the best set of tags for a sequence of words (a sentence)
$\square W$ is a sequence of words
- $T$ is a sequence of tags

$$
\arg \max P(T \mid W)=\frac{P(W \mid T) P(T)}{P(W)}
$$

$P(w)$ is common

## Stochastic (Probabilities)

- We want the best set of tags for a sequence of words (a sentence)
$\square \mathrm{W}$ is a sequence of words
$\square T$ is a sequence of tags

$$
\arg \max P(T \mid W)=P(W \mid T) P(T)
$$

## Tag Sequence: P(T)

- How do we get the probability of a specific tag sequence?
- Count the number of times a sequence occurs and divide by the number of sequences of that length. Not likely.
- Make a Markov assumption and use N-grams over tags...
$\square \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{T})$ is a product of the probability of N -grams that make it up.


## P(T): Bigram Example

- <s> Det Adj Adj Noun </s>
- P(Det|<s>)P(Adj|Det)P(Adj|Adj)P(Noun|A dj)
$\square$ Where do you get the $N$-gram counts?
$\square$ From a large hand-tagged corpus.
- For Bi-grams, count all the $\mathrm{Tag}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Tag}_{\mathrm{i}+1}$ pairs
- And smooth them to get rid of the zeroes
$\square$ Alternatively, you can learn them from an untagged corpus


## What about $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{W} \mid \mathrm{T})$

$\square$ It is asking the probability of seeing "The big red dog" given "Det Adj Adj Noun" !

- Collect up all the times you see that tag sequence and see how often "The big red dog" shows up. Again not likely to work.


## P(W|T)

- We'll make the following assumption:
- Each word in the sequence only depends on its corresponding tag. So...

$$
P(W \mid T) \approx \prod_{i=1}^{n} P\left(w_{i} \mid t_{i}\right)
$$

- How do we get the statistics for that?


## Performance

- This method has achieved 95-96\% correct with reasonably complex English tagsets and reasonable amounts of hand-tagged training data.


## How accurate are they?

- POS Taggers accuracy rates are in th range of 95-99\%
- Vary according to text/type/genre
$\square$ Of pre-tagged corpus
- Of text to be tagged
- Worst case scenario: assume success rate of 95\%
$\square$ Prob(one-word sentence) $=.95$
$\square \operatorname{Prob}($ two-word sentence $)=.95 * .95=90.25 \%$
$\square$ Prob(ten-word sentence) $=59 \%$ approx


## 'Thank you

هالسلام عليكم ورحمة اله

